


**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Examiner previously rejected claims 1-27 as obvious over the combination of Norris and White and claim 28 as obvious over the combination of Norris, White, and Heep. Applicants incorporate all arguments made previously as to the allowability of the claims.

Applicants discuss claim 1 to address the Examiner's use of the art of record. While White may disclose speech recognition, Applicants' argument, however, is that none of the art of record teaches or suggests the limitation of claim of "a speech recognition routine executable in the device to interpret voice commands received from the telephone and to perform actions associated with said voice commands." Specifically, none of the art of record discloses interpreting a voice command from a telephone. White discloses that a person speaks a calling party's name into a phone and the spoken name is processed by the voice recognition card 208. Applicants contend that this action does not involve the interpretation of a voice command, but rather only involves the interpretation of voice data. The "command" in White occurs when a person presses \*83 on the telephone to initiate a phone call.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims. If any fees or time extensions are inadvertently omitted or if any fees have been overpaid, please appropriately charge or credit those fees to Hewlett-Packard Company Deposit Account Number 08-2025 and enter any time extension(s) necessary to prevent this case from being abandoned.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jonathan M. Harris, Reg. No. 44,144  
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.  
(713) 238-8000 (Phone)  
(713) 238-8008 (Fax)  
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS